

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/749,893	393 12/29/2000		Robert Palifka	09991-014001	6685	
26171	7590	08/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARD	SON P.C.	NGHIEM, MICHAEL P			
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2863		
				DATE MAILED: 08/17/200	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/749,893	PALIFKA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael P. Nghiem	2863		

Bototo into timing of an tippout Bitot	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Michael P. Nghiem	2863							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN									
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS	had animate the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b							
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for						
appeal; and/or	tto. form for appear by materially re	adding or ounipmying							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	-						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>45,48,50-52,54-58,60,61,63-65,85-99</u> .	102,104 and 105.								
Claim(s) objected to: 40-42 and 79.									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-33,35-39,43,44,66-78,82-84,100,103 and 106-109</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ot does NOT whose the condition !	n nondition for allaws	naa baaassas						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:						
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).									
13. ⊠ Other: <u>Attached Notice of Reference cited</u> .	MICHAEL NGHIEN PRIMARY EXAMINER								
	PRIMARY) b							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner withdraws 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of claims 33 and 35. Regarding claim 44, Shigemura discloses a thermoplastic bonding component having a plurality of openings. The layer of thermoplastic adhesive between nozzle plate (7) and body (11) have openings matching the ink channels of 11, Fig. 13, and nozzles of 7, Fig. 14. In other words there is no layer of thermoplastic adhesive at the locations of the ink channels and nozzles. Regarding claims 29, 103, and 106, the epoxy layer of Moynihan can be construed as a thermoplastic bonding component. According to the Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, ninth edition, an epoxy is a flexible usu. thermosetting resin made by polymerization of an epoxide. Regarding claims 103 and 106, discovering the optimum thickness of between 20 microns - 50 microns involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. Regarding claim 43, the thermoplastic bonding component having a thickness of between 1 - 150 microns is disclosed by Moynihan (15 microns, column 5, lines 64-67).